DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

OFFICE OF DESIGN POLICY & SUPPORT INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

FILE P.I. #0006327

OFFICE Design Policy & Support

CSSTP-0006-00(327)

GDOT District 1 - Gainesville

Barrow County

West Winder Bypass

DATE May 31, 2011

FROM

for Brent Story, State Design Policy Engineer

TO SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT APPROVED REVISED CONCEPT REPORT

Attached is the approved Revised Concept Report for the above subject project.

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:

Genetha Rice-Singleton, Program Control Administrator Bobby Hilliard, State Program Delivery Engineer Cindy VanDyke, State Transportation Planning Administrator Angela Robinson, Financial Management Administrator Glenn Bowman, State Environmental Administrator Ben Rabun, State Bridge Engineer Kathy Zahul, State Traffic Engineer Georgene Geary, State Materials & Research Engineer Ron Wishon, State Project Review Engineer Jeff Baker, State Utilities Engineer Ken Thompson, Statewide Location Bureau Chief Michael Henry, Systems & Classification Branch Chief Todd McDuffie, District Engineer Robert Mahoney, District Preconstruction Engineer Allen Ferguson, District Utilities Engineer Douglas Fadool, Project Manager BOARD MEMBER - 7th Congressional District

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

REVISED PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

Project Number: CSSTP-0006-00(327)
County: Barrow
P. I. Number: 0006327
Federal Route Number: N/A
State Route Number: SR 8, SR 211, SR 316

The features from the approved concept report are being revised by breaking the project up into three separate projects. Also, recommendations from the VE Study will revise the inside lane width, ramp shoulder widths, mainline shoulder widths, bridge shoulder width, and the median type.

Submitted for approval:

DATE <u>2-29-11</u>	Shruial Amin. Moreland Altobelli Associates Design Consultant Name and Firm Name
DATE	
DATE 3/2/2011	Barrow County Bobby Hilliard ** KLP State Program Delivery Engineer
DATE 3/2/2011	Japo Fador
* * Sul	Project Manager bmission on file
Recommendation for approval:	***//
DATE 3-8-11	Glenn Bowman ** ** / LLP State Environmental Administrator.
DATE 4-26-11	Ben Rabyn * **/** State Bridge Design Engineer
*** Recommen	dation on file
The concept as presented herein and sub	omitted for approval is consistent with that which is included in the and/or the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).
DATE 3-22-11	State Transportation Planning Administrator

HETRIS REVISED CONCEPT REPORT IS SIGNED WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE PRESENTED

PEVISED CONCEPT RUES ACT MATCH THE CURRENT RTP., TRIS OFFICE WILL WORK WITH

THE ATLANTA REGIONAL COMMISSION TO ENGUE THAT THE REVISED CONCEPT IS REFLECTED APPROPRIATELY

WITHIN THE URAMING PLAN 2040 RTP UPDATE SCHEDULED FOR LATE SUMMER. IN A POITION, THE FULL

NOOD I PURPOSE STATEMENT FROM THE PRIOR CONCEPT REPORT HAS BEEN ATTACHED FOR

LEFTERINE.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 2

Need and Purpose:

The need for the proposed projects is to provide a bypass route on the west side of the city of Winder from SR 316 to SR 211 and to construct a grade-separated railroad crossing at the intersection of the West Winder Bypass and SR 8. The purpose is to alleviate the percentage of trucks utilizing minor arterial routes and to reduce congestion and accident rates along Patrick Mill Road, SR 8, SR 211 and Pearl Pentecost Road.

Planning Background and Project History

In the 1990's, commercial and industrial land uses began to develop along SR 8, Bankhead Highway and Patrick Mill Road. The west side of the city of Winder includes the West Winder Industrial Park, business centers and manufacturing plants. SR 8 and Bankhead Highway parallel the CSX railroad that passes through the City of Winder. Industrial and commercial traffic from this area of Barrow County primarily travel to and from the interstate system via SR 316 and SR 211. This travel pattern requires that the industrial truck traffic from this area use an at-grade railroad crossing and travel on residential collector roadways to reach SR 211 or travel through the Downtown area of the city of Winder. Currently, the only grade-separated railroad crossing for the city of Winder is the Center Street underpass located approximately 3 miles east of Patrick Mill Road. To address this need, in the year 2000, project CSSTP-0006-00 (326) Phase I, P.I. Number 0006326 was established. This project includes a railroad overpass on the west side of Winder from Patrick Mill Road at Mathews School Road to Pearl Pentecost Road. This project was further expanded to Phase II of CSSTP-0006-00 (327), P.I. Number 0006327. Phase II includes the Patrick Mill Road widening from SR 316 to the railroad overpass and new roadway construction from Pearl Pentecost Road to SR 211. However, now the two projects are programmed for design and construction under project number CSSTP-0006-00 (327), P.I. Number 0006327 as the West Winder Bypass.

Land Use Trends Impacting Transportation

The current land use surrounding the intersection of the Patrick Mill Road at SR 8 includes primarily industrial, manufacturing and commercial businesses. However, along Patrick Mill Road are several side streets consisting of residential subdivisions, schools and churches. The land use trend of maintaining industrial and commercial businesses in this area with residential land uses being developed on the side streets of Patrick Mill Road between SR 316 and SR 8 is reflected in the Barrow County Future Land Use Map (1999-2018).

Logical Termini

The logical southern terminus of the proposed West Winder Bypass would occur at the intersection of Tom Miller Road relocated approximately 1,000 feet south of SR 316. At this intersection, 42% of the traffic turns left onto Tom Miller Road. Tom Miller Road has two schools and there are plans to construct a third school. Tom Miller Road intersects with SR 81 near the Walton County line. Consequently, residential commuters and commercial traffic from the north side of SR 316 travel to and from Tom Miller Road.

The logical northern terminus of the proposed project is at the intersection with SR 211. It's at this intersection that West Winder Bypass joins SR 211 traffic from downtown Winder. There is a project listed on the 2030 Regional Transportation Plan that includes the widening of SR 211 from the West Winder Bypass to the I-85 interchange (BA-013), consequently, this intersection was chosen as the logical northern terminus.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 3

Annual Daily Traffic Volumes and Levels of Service

The existing roadway of SR 8 near the CSX railroad crossing is operating at a level of service "D" under current peak hour conditions and Patrick Mill Road currently operates at level of service "C". Level of service (LOS) is a qualitative measurement of traffic flow, which ranges from "A" (unimpeded, free-flowing traffic) through "F" (virtual gridlocked traffic). These roadways currently serve local and commercial traffic in the area. The commercial, industrial and residential land uses along Patrick Mill Road and SR 8 contribute to the 6,630 vehicles per day (vpd) and 16,840 vpd, respectively on these existing facilities. Trucks contribute 34% of the 24-hour traffic volume on SR 8 and 22% of the traffic on Patrick Mill Road.

The average traffic growth rate in this area of Barrow County was determined to be 4.8% per year. However, this growth rate would not be sustained on the state routes, which are the primary routes of diversion. The state routes were increased according to their own average traffic growth rates of 2.5% for SR 211 and 2.6 % for SR 8. As a result of these traffic growth rates, it is projected that traffic will more than double by the year 2029. The West Winder Bypass project is proposed to relieve traffic on these facilities as shown in the table below.

Roadway	Current 2005 AADT (vpd)	LOS	2029 No-Build AADT (vpd)	LOS	2029 Build AADT (vpd)	LOS
Patrick Mill Rd	6,630	С	20,500	F	24,900	C*
SR 8	16,840	D	31,200	F	20,200	D
SR 211	13,860	D	25,000	F	16,200	D
Pearl Pentecost Rd	2,985	В	9,200	С	6,200	В

^{*} Patrick Mill Road would be widened to four lanes in the build condition.

Patrick Mill Road and SR 8 are currently two-lane roadways that are inadequate to handle the projected industrial/commercial traffic of the west side of Winder. Traffic would be diverted from SR 8, SR 211 and Pearl Pentecost Road to the West Winder Bypass, thus allowing these facilities to operate at acceptable levels of service.

Intersection levels of service were determined at each of the major intersections of the project and are shown in the table on the next page. Existing intersection levels of service range from A to D with the exception of Patrick Mill Road at Tom Miller Road/Fairlong Way, which operates at LOS F during the A.M. peak hour. This intersection may need to be signalized due to the number of left turns from Patrick Mill Road to Tom Miller Road. The projected levels of service are anticipated to decline to LOS F at all of the major intersections by the 2029 design year if no action is taken. Under the build condition, the proposed major intersections would operate at LOS D or better in the design year (2029).

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 4

Summary of HCS Analysis Results

Intersections	HARLING CLAFFING YOR BOX	sting 2005	AMERICAN STREET	Build · 2029	Prop Design 20	- Year
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
Patrick Mill Rd @ Tom Miller Rd/Fairlong Way	F*	D*	F	F	D	C
Patrick Mill Rd @ SR 316	C	С	F	F		
West Winder Bypass @ SR 316 EB Off-Ramp					С	C
West Winder Bypass @ SR 316 WB Off-Ramp					С	В
Patrick Mill Rd @ Fred Kilcrease Rd	B*	В*	F	F	С	D
Patrick Mill Rd @ Bill Rutledge Rd	C*	В*	F	F		
Patrick Mill Rd @ Carl Bethlehem Rd	В*	В*	F	F	С	С
Patrick Mill Rd @ Burson Maddox Rd	B*	В*	F	F	D*	E*
Patrick Mill Rd @ Plantation Rd	B*	В*	F	F		
Patrick Mill Rd @ Mathews School Rd	B*	В*	F	F		
Patrick Mill Rd @ West Winder Industrial Pkwy	B*	C*	F	F		
West Winder Bypass @ Mathews School Rd					С	С
Patrick Mill Rd @ SR 8	B*	D*	F	F		
Mathews School Rd @ SR 8					В	В
Bankhead Hwy @ Pearl Pentecost Rd	B*	B*	F	F		
Connector Road @ Bankhead Hwy					В	В
West Winder Bypass @ Connector Road					В	В
West Winder Bypass @ Pearl Pentecost Rd					С	С
West Winder Bypass @ SR 211					В	В

^{*} For unsignalized intersections, LOS is given for minor street approach.

Crash Data

An inventory of crash data from 2001 to 2003 is provided in the table on the next page. The table lists the total number of accidents and injuries coded to roadway segments of Patrick Mill Road, SR 8 and SR 211 that are improved by the West Winder Bypass project. Two fatalities were recorded during 2001 and 2003 along a short section of SR 8 at or near Patrick Mill Road. Additionally, there was one fatality at the intersection of Patrick Mill Road at SR 316 in 2001.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 5

Crash Data

Comparison to Statewide Rates for Major Collectors

Roadway Segment	Year	No. Of Accidents	Accident Rate (Statewide)	No. Of Injuries	Injury Rate (Statewide)	No. Of Fatalities	Fatality Rate (Statewide)
cn e	2001	20	289 (185)	15	217 (98)	1	14.5 (2.28)
SR 8 (1.71 mi)	2002	30	332 (195)	6	66 (104)	0	00.0 (2.37)
(11/1 1111)	2003	42	400 (211)	15	143 (110)	1	9.5 (2.95)
CD 211	2001	97	488 (185)	32	161 (98)	0	00.0 (2.28)
SR 211 (3.46 mi)	2002	88	541 <i>(195)</i>	29	178 (104)	0	00.0 (2.37)
(0.10 111)	2003	79	451 <i>(211)</i>	29	166 (110)	0	00.0 (2.95)
Patrick	2001	28	606 (185)	13	281 (98)	1	21.6 (2.28)
Mill Rd	2002	39	802 (195)	11	226 (104)	0	00.0 (2.37)
(2.22 mi)	2003	47	921 (211)	24	470 (110)	0	00.0 (2.95)

The results indicate that Patrick Mill Road, SR 8 and SR 211, all currently have accident, injury and fatality rates above the average rates as compared to similar major collectors statewide. There were seven angle collisions and three rear-end accidents at the intersection of SR 8 and the at-grade railroad crossover. One of these accidents resulted in a fatality. Proposed construction of the West Winder Bypass would result in a decrease in traffic using the SR 8 at-grade railroad crossover and decrease traffic on SR 211. Consequently, the West Winder Bypass project would reduce the risk of various common accidents, specifically rear-end and angle collisions at intersections and at the railroad crossing.

In summary, the proposed construction of the West Winder Bypass would correct the existing roadway deficiencies, improve traffic operations and increase the capacity of the roadway to facilitate the projected traffic growth.

Other Projects in the Area

- GDOT Project 0001038 SR 124 @ SR 211
- GDOT Project 0001816 6th Street/CR 326 Grade Separation @ CSX RR
- GDOT Project 0002248 Winder Downtown Streetscape Project
- GDOT Project 0006449 Upgrade Traffic Signals @ Various locations in Barrow County
- GDOT Project 0007356 CR 714/North Williams Street @ CSX #640124J
- GDOT Project 0007356 SR 8@ SR 324 & @ CR 326 & @ CR 327 & @ CR 328
- GDOT Project 110620 I-85 from north of SR 211 to north of SR 60 in Jackson County
- GDOT Project 121730 SR 988/Winder East bypass from SR 316 to SR 53
- GDOT Project 122870 SR 316 in Barrow and Oconee Counties 26 interchanges
- GDOT Project 132970 SR 11/Winder-Monroe Hwy @ Marburg Creek south of Winder
- GDOT Project 132971 SR 11/Winder-Monroe Hwy @ Scott Creek 1.7 miles south of Bethlehem

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 6

- GDOT Project 171290 CR 67/Etheridge Road @ CSX Railroad #640141A
- GDOT Project M003152 SR 211 from SR 316/US 29 to SR 11/Statham Road
- GDOT Project s007743 Three streets in the City of Winder

Project location: The proposed West Winder Bypass is located in Barrow County west of the city of Winder. The proposed project is located along existing Patrick Mill Road from milepost 0.81 to 2.71. The project then continues on new location crossing Pearl Pentecost Road and ties into SR 211 at milepost 2.14.

Description of the approved concept: The proposed project would widen Patrick Mill Road/CR 93 from a two-lane to a four-lane divided highway with a 24-foot raised median from Tom Miller Road to approximately 1,000 feet south of Burson Maddox Road. The roadway would continue north on new location, bridge over SR 8, the CSX railroad track and Bankhead Highway, cross Pearl Pentecost Road and connect to SR 211. The total length of the project would be approximately 5.0 miles. The project would also include a full-diamond interchange at SR 316 and connector roadways from the West Winder Bypass to SR 8 and to Bankhead Highway.

West Winder Bypass alignment was revised to curve south and cross Pearl Pentecost Road and continue parallel to Cedar Creek through property owned by Barrow County and then tie in to SR 211 at milepost 2.14 approximately 3,000 feet south of the original alignment. The alignment was moved to prevent impacting a historic resource.

PDP Classification:	MajorX	Minor	
Federal Oversight:	Full Oversight (),	Exempt (X), State Funded (),	or Other ()
Functional Classific	ation:		

Phase 1:

- West Winder Bypass Rural Major Arterial
- Matthews School Rd Rural Local Rd
- SR 8 Rural Major Collector
- Bankhead Hwy Rural Local Rd
- Pearl Pentecost Rd Rural Local Rd
- SR 211 Rural Major Collector

Phase 2:

- West Winder Bypass Rural Major Arterial
- Fred Kilcrease Rd Rural Local Rd
- Bill Rutledge Rd Rural Local Rd
- Carl Bethlehem Rd Rural Major Collector
- Burson Maddox Rd Rural Local Rd

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 7
Phase 3:

- West Winder Bypass Rural Major Arterial
- Tom Mill Rd Rural Local Rd
- SR 316 Rural Principal Arterial

U.S. Route Number(s): N/A

State Route Number(s): SR 8, SR 211, SR 316

No()

Traffic (AADT) as shown in the approved concept:

Base Year: (2009) 18,100

Design Year: (2029) 26,700

Updated traffic data (AADT):

Base Year: (2020) <u>15,400</u> Design Year: (2040) <u>26,600</u>

Approved Programmed/Schedule:

P.E: 2005

R/W: 2015

Construction: 2018

VE Study Required: Yes(X) No()

A Value Engineering Study was conducted in April, 2010 and implemented in July 2010. The following revisions are to be made to minimize right-of-way and environmental impacts and reduce construction and right-of-way acquisition costs for the project (Recommendations not meeting current GDOT policy may require a design variance):

- Realign Railroad Bridge over SR 8 closer to a 90 degree skew. (Phase 1)
- Reduce the concrete paved shoulder widths on the ramps from 6 foot inside and 10 foot outside to 4 foot inside and 8 foot outside. (Phase 3)
- Move Burson Maddox Road Intersection 300 ft south of original design. (Phase 2)
- Shorten the ramps to and from SR 316 to the new West Winder Bypass (Phase 3)
- Reduce the inside lane width from 12 feet wide to 11 feet wide.(All Phases)
- Revise the alignment of Matthews School Road to connect to SR 8 close to the existing intersection. (Phase 1)
- Reduce concrete paved shoulder widths on the ramps to and from SR 316 and the new West Winder Bypass. (Phase 3)
- Reduce the 24 foot median width to a 20 foot median width. (All Phases)
- Reduce the outside paved shoulder width from 6.5 feet to 4 feet wide. (All Phases)
- The VE study recommends a 10 foot bridge shoulder width. However, 8 foot shoulders will be utilized due to a change in standards in the Bridge & Structural Design Policy Manual. (Phase 1 & 3)
- Using 4:1 slopes in lieu of 6:1. (All Phases)
- Reduce turn lane storage lengths at the intersection of Carl Bethlehem Road and West Winder Bypass. (Phase 2)

Benefit/Cost Ratio: 1.23

Is the project located in an Ozone Non-attainment area? Yes (X)

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 8

Is the project in a PM2.5 Non-Attainment area? Yes (X) No ()

The proposed project is described as reconstruction/new construction of the West Winder Bypass from 2 to 4 lanes from 0.18 miles south of SR 316 (University Parkway) to SR 211. The project is included in the Atlanta Regional Commission's (ARC) adopted 2030 Regional Transportation Plan as project BA-005. Right-of-Way funding for this project is programmed for fiscal year 2015. The project has a proposed opening year of 2020 and is included in the ARC model. (See attachement for the conforming schematic plan for the project.)

Approved features:

The proposed project would begin at Patrick Mill Road/CR 93 and would continue north on new location, bridge over SR 8, the CSX railroad track and Bankhead Highway, cross Pearl Pentecost Road and connect to SR 211. The total length of the project would be approximately 5.0 miles. The project would also include a full-diamond interchange at SR 316 and connector roadways from the West Winder Bypass to SR 8 and to Bankhead Highway.

Proposed Features:

The features from the approved concept report being revised are: splitting the project into three individual projects (phases), and reductions to the lane width, shoulders, and median.

Phase 1:

Project Length: 2.26 miles

Phase 1 is located in the northern section of the overall West Winder Bypass from Matthews School Road to SR 211. Located at milepost 2.70 to milepost 4.96.

Phase 2:

Project Length: 1.94 miles

Phase 2 consists of the southern section of the overall West Winder Bypass from SR 316 to Matthews School Road. Located at milepost 0.76 to milepost 2.70.

Phase 3:

Project Length: 0.76 miles

Phase 3 would be of the new full-diamond interchange created at the Bypass and SR 316. Located at milepost 0.00 to milepost 0.76.

Reason for Change:

The project is proposed to be split up into three separate phases for project funding purposes. The implementations of the recommendations from the Value Engineering Study lead to the changes in the lane width, shoulders, and median.

Potential Environmental Impacts of Proposed Revision: Environmental impacts are reduced by narrowing the typical section. The roadway median type of the typical section was revised from a 24' to a 20'raised concrete median, the inside lane and shoulder widths are also reduced. Another opportunity for public involvement will be available at the PIOH scheduled in the fall of 2011.

Have Proposed Revisions Been Reviewed by Environmental Staff? (X) Yes () No

Environmental Responsibilities: Barrow County, Consultant, GDOT

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 9

Scheduling – Responsible Parties' Estimate:

Time to complete the environmental process: Phase 1 & 2 = 1/6/09 to 3/29/13

Time to complete preliminary construction plans: Phase 1 & 2 = 10/29/11 to 7/16/13

Time to complete right-of-way plans: Phase 1 & 2 = 8/12/13 to 5/15/14

Time to complete the Section 404 Permit: Phase 1 & 2 = 1/19/15 to 1/5/16

Time to complete final construction plans: Phase 1 & 2 = 6/2/14 to 10/15/16

Time to complete the purchase of right-of-way: Phase 1 & 2 = 5/19/14 to 12/27/16

List other major items that will affect the project schedule: An internal IJR may be required prior to programming Phase 3.

Updated Cost Estimate

Phase 1:

Total Construction Cost - \$22,313,012.39 Right of Way Cost - \$11,360,000.00 Environmental Mitigation - \$324,330.00 Utility Total - \$3,661,993.00

Phase 2:

Total Construction Cost - \$9,989,095.43 Right of Way Cost - \$6,180,000.00 Environmental Mitigation - \$149,900.00 Utility Total - \$1,714,671.00

Phase 3:


Total Construction Cost - \$14,411,712.17 Right of Way Cost - \$14,820,000.00 Environmental Mitigation - \$14,700.00 Utility Total - \$2,939,436.00

Recommendation: It is recommended that the proposed revisions to the concept report be approved for implementation.

Attachments:


- 1. Location Map
- 2. Schematic Plan
- 3. Benefit Cost Analysis
- 4. Revised Typical Sections
- 5. Cost Estimates
- 6. Jurisdictional Water Map (2)
- 7. VE Implementation Letter
- 8. PFA

Concur:	Clarm	B Buly	
	pirector of	Engineering	
Approve: _	Oill	MILOS	5/26/
	Chief Engir	neer	Date



P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

West Winder Bypass - Schematic Plan



REVISED PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

90,507,072 **6,443,085**

12,951,429 7,588,735 **5,362,694**

3,486,619

5,950,491

4,913,045 4,821,160 **91,885**

\$ \$ \$ \$ **\$**

7,918,452

vere difference between build and no-build average eeds are accounted by the fact that there is a large

ž

2

2

Include GSP benefits

GDOT Benefit-Cost Calculator enter information in green cells

,		90,507,0	6,443,0			12,951,4	7,588,7	5.362.6			5,950,4		3,486,6	Z,440.5,4			4,913,0	4,821,1	8,1.6		~	~	_	۷.	-		7,918,4		+				-build average	nere is a larg	which the
		69	69			69	49	\$ 50			69		တ	e SD			ઝ	G	gs &						ant		69						and no	t that th	S, ITOM
	Costs	Total cost	Annualized cost		Auto Delay Costs	Nobuild	Build	Auto delay savings \$		Truck Delay Costs	Nobuild		Build	I IUCK Gelay Savin		Fuel Costs	Nobuild	Build	Fuel cost savings \$		Change in GSP	Auto delay cost adjustment	Truck delay cost adjustment	Fuel cost adjustment	Total benefit adjustment		Benefits in 2040	1	Benefit-Cost Ratio			Notes	Severe difference between build and no-build average	speeds are accounted by the fact that there is a larg	difference in venicle-nours delays, from which the average speed is calculated.
												Design traffic provided by PE consultant (Moreland Altobelli													Used	2040	%_	20	2010	2020	3.22	18.03	13.75	72.65	8%
												d by PE cor													Override	2040		8	2010	2020					8%
					2/28/11	\$2,030,000.00	\$32,360,000.00	\$8,916,100.00	\$47,200,972,49		The state of the s	Design traffic provide	Associates, inc.)	The state of the s		27,510,000	1,023,828,41	26.870			26,995,500	599,900,00	45.000		Default	2035	7.0%	25	AX.	AX	3.22	18.03	13.75	72.65	12%
Project Information	Ω	Description		Cost Estimate	Date of estimate	PE cost	ROW cost	UTILITY cost	CST cost	Total	Traffic in 2040		Source of traffic data	(Mindon) todiose tracklish	מוחים ביים ביים (חומים וויים	Annual VMT	Annual VHT	Average speed (mph)		with project (build)	Annual VMT	Annual VHT	Average speed (mph)		Parameters	Analysis year	Discount rate	Design life (years)	Base year of cost estimate	Current CST program year	Fuel price (\$/gallon)	Fuel economy (mpg)	Value of auto travel (\$/hr)	Value of truck travel (\$/hr)	Percent trucks


P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 13

	nput Calculations for No-E roject Name: West Winder		
	OJECT NEILE. West William	bypass .	
	lations of Annual VMT with	out Project	
Corridor Description (No-Build Scenario)	Length (miles)	ADT	
Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316	0.40	12700	
Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8.	2.50	11750	
US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211	2.40	19850	
SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B"	230	12150	
Year 2040 Design Year ADT for	Corridor		14479
Comider Length with and Party	t (A to D in Affice)		7.60
Corridor Length without Project	(A to B in wiles)		
Annual VMT Without Project	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737	Travel Distance 7.60	VMT 27,510,000
Annual VMT Without Project	Vehicles per day x 250	7.60	VMT
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with	7.60	VMT 27,510,000
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles)	7.60 out Project Proportions	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed*
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles)	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed*
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed* 29.60 30.10
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed* 29.60 30.10 23.50
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211 SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B"	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40 2.30	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed 29.60 30.10 23.50
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211 SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B" * Travel Speed Determined from	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40 2.30	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32	27,510,000 Travel Speed* 29.60 30.10 23.50 26.40
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211 SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B" * Travel Speed Determined from Average Travel Speed under No	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40 2.30 HCS analysis	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32 0.30	VMT 27,510,000 Travel Speed* 29.60 30.10 23.50
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211 SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B" * Travel Speed Determined from	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40 2.30 https://doi.org/10.0000/10.000000000000000000000000000	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32 0.30	27,510,000 Travel Speed* 29.60 30.10 23.50 26.40
Calcul Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to US 29/SR 8. US 29/SR 8 from Patrick Mill Rd. to SR 211 SR211 from US 29/SR 8 to "B" * Travel Speed Determined from Average Travel Speed under No	Vehicles per day x 250 3,619,737 ations of Annual VHT with Length (miles) 0.40 2.50 2.40 2.30 https://doi.org/10.0000/10.000000000000000000000000000	7.60 out Project Proportions 0.05 0.33 0.32 0.30	29.60 30.10 23.50 26.40


P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow


	fit Input Calculations for Bu Project Name: West Winder		
	culations of Annual VMT w	Ith Project	
Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario)	Length (miles)	Proportions	Year 2040 Bull ADT
Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd.	0.20	0.04	14500
Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316	0.20	0.04	26600
Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge	0.80	0.17	26300
Patricvk Mili Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem	0.40	0.08	24000
From Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox	0.40	0.08	23700
From Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd.	0.70	0.15	23600
Matthews School Rd. to Connector Rd.	0.40	0.08	21700
Connector Rd. to Pearl pentecost Rd.	0.82	0.17	22100
Pearl Pentecost Rd. to "B" (SR 211)	0.85	0.18	19200
Corridor Length with Project	(A to B in Miles)		22638 4.77
	VMT		
Annual VMT With Project	Vehicles per day x 250 5,659,434	Travel Distance 4.77	VMT 26,995,500
Cat Corridor Description of	5,659,434	4.77	26,995,500
Cal Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario)	5,659,434	4.77	
Cal Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd.	5,659,434	4.77	26,995,500
Cate Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT w	4.77 th Project Proportions	26,995,500 Travel Speed
Cat Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles)	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00
Cairidor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. From Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT w Length (miles) 0.20 0.20	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04	26,995,500 Travel Speed: 45.00 45.00
Cate Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17	26,995,500 Travel Speed* 45.00 45.00
Cair Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd.	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00
Cairidor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem From Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. Matthews School Rd. to Connector Rd.	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.08	26,995,500 Travel Speed* 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Cate Corridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox From Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. Matthews School Rd. Connector Rd. Connector Rd.	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT w Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.40 0.70	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.08 0.15	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Carridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem From Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. to Connector Rd. Connector Rd. Connector Rd. to "B" (SR 211)	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.70 0.40 0.82 0.85	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.08 0.15 0.08	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Carridor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem From Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. to Connector Rd. Connector Rd. Connector Rd. to "B" (SR 211)	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.70 0.40 0.82 0.85	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.08 0.15 0.08 0.17	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Cairidor Description of Sugarloaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox From Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. Matthews School Rd. to Connector Rd. Connector Rd. Connector Rd. Pearl Pentsecoat Rd. to "B" (SR 211) * Travel Speed Determined from Sugarload Sugarload Speed Petermined from Sugarload Pet	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.40 0.70 0.40 0.82 0.85 om HCS analysis	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.08 0.15 0.08 0.17	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Cair Corridor Description of Sugarioaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox From Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. Matthews School Rd. Connector Rd. to Pearl pentecost Rd. Peerl Pentecost Rd. to "B" (SR 211) * Travel Speed Determined from Average Travel Speed under Connector Connector Rd.	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.40 0.70 0.40 0.82 0.85 Dent HCS analysis Build Scenario	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.15 0.08 0.17 0.18	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00
Cai Corridor Description of Sugarioaf Pkwy (Build Scenario) Patrick Mill Rd. from "A" to Tom Miller Rd. Patrick Mill Rd. from Tom Miller Rd. To SR 316 Patrick Mill Rd. from SR 316 to Bill Rutledge Patrick Mill Rd. from Bill Rutledge to Carl Bethlehem From Carl Bethlehem to Burson Maddox From Burson Maddox to Matthews School Rd. Matthews School Rd. Connector Rd. to Pearl pentecost Rd. Pearl Pentecoat Rd. to "B"	5,659,434 culations of Annual VHT will Length (miles) 0.20 0.20 0.80 0.40 0.40 0.70 0.40 0.82 0.85 Dent HCS analysis Build Scenario	4.77 th Project Proportions 0.04 0.04 0.17 0.08 0.15 0.08 0.17 0.18	26,995,500 Travel Speed 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00 45.00


P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow




P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow







P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 19



REVISED PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 20

TOTAL PROJECT COST SUMMARY

		\$87,878,849.98	GRAND TOTAL PROJECT COST
\$32,185,848.17	\$18,033,666.43	\$37,659,335.39	PHASE TOTAL
\$2,939,436.00	\$1,714,671.00	\$3,661,993.00	Utility Total:
\$14,700.00	\$149,900.00	\$324,330.00	Environmental Mitigation:
\$14,820,000.00	\$6,180,000.00	Right of Way: \$11,360,000.00	Right of Way:
S14,411,712.17	\$9,989,095.43	\$22,313,012.39	Total Construction Cost:
\$451,305.87	\$999,339.77	\$1,717,537.74	Liquid AC Adjustment:
\$327,869.48	\$493,836.15	\$841,629.84	Fuel Adjustment:
\$649,168.42	\$404,567.60	\$940,659.28	Engineering and Inspection @ 5%:
S12,983,368.40	\$8,091,351.91	\$18,813,185.53	Subtotal Construction Cost:
PHASE 3	PHASE 2	PHASE 1	

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 21

TOTAL COST SUMMARY - PHASE I

Subtotal Construction Cost: \$18,813,185.53

Engineering and Inspection @ 5%: \$940,659.28

Fuel Adjustment: \$841,629.84

Liquid AC Adjustment: \$1,717,537.74

Total Construction Cost: \$22,313,012.39

Right of Way: \$11,360,000.00

Environmental Mitigation: \$324,300.00

Utility Total: \$3,661,993.00

PHASE TOTAL \$37,659,305.39

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 22

Environmental Mitigation Summary - Phase 1

Descripion	Credits	Cost per Credit	Total
Ephemeral 11	0.05	\$7,500	\$375.00
Ephemeral 14	0	\$7,500	\$0.00
Ephemeral 16	0.58	\$7,500	\$4,350.00
Pond 9	0	\$7,500	\$0.00
Stream 10	75	\$70	\$5,250.00
Stream 13	729	\$70	\$51,030.00
Stream 15	858	\$70	\$60,060.00
Stream 16	1152	\$70	\$80,640.00
Wetland 12	1.99	\$7,500	\$14,925.00
Wetland 17	0.56	\$7,500	\$4,200.00
Wetland 18	13.68	\$7,500	\$102,600.00
Wetland 19	0.12	\$7,500	\$900.00
Wetland 20	0	\$7,500	\$0.00
		Phase 1 Total	\$324,330.00

(See Jurisdictional Water Map 2 of 2)

ATE
••
05/
720
TIOZ

west winder Bypass_Phase 1 (CES).txt STATE HIGHWAY AGENCY

JOB NUMBER : 0006327_PHASE 1 SPEC YEAR: 01 DESCRIPTION: WEST WINDER BYPASS_PHASE 1

JOB ESTIMATE REPORT

	0046 0047 500 0048 500			-																		5	5	11	16	16	5	2010	15	16	-	-				LINE ITEM		
	456-2015 500-3101 500-3101	L-6740 5-1100	I-0301	1-0204	0-100	3-1000	2-0206	1000	402-3130	2-3121	0-1101	0100	1-0030	1-0010	7-1500	7-0105	5-0101	5-0087	5-0086	165-0085 Te2-007T	0060	5-0041	5-0030	200	0531	3-0529	3-0528	0500	3-0502	3-0501	3-0300	0740	153-1300)-1000	001-0000 001-1000	EM.		
																																				ALT		
	ব্ধট্টিঃ	44	3 ቬ	YS	?≒	ΥS	ş	2 2	į	Ź	⊉{	7 5	₹	4	₹	, C	! ঢ়	Σ.	Ţ Ş	5 F	2	<u>۾</u>	4	ī 5	7	두	<u>.</u>	ī 5	! Ţ	5	ያ :	ŹŽ	\$₽	ሯ	**	STINU		
Page 1	ENT. RUMB. STRIPS SS A CONCRETE CULV SS A CONCRETE CULV	TRIPS TRY 18	CONC SPILLWAY, TP 1	PLAIN CONC DITCH PAVING, 4 IN	ASPH CONC CURB - CSSTP-0006-00(327)		MILL ASPH CONC PVMT/ 1.50" DEP	RETTIM TACK COAT	SP, GP2, BM&HL	GP1/	SASE CRS. I	GRADING COMBINITY - COSTR-DODG-DOC327)	TEMPORARY SILT FENCE, TYPE C	TEMPORARY SILT FENCE, TYPE A	WATER QUALITY INSPECTIONS	0	유	OF SILT CONTROL GATE,	MAINT OF SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 2	OF STUT CONTROL GATE	읶	MAINT OF CHECK DAMS - ALL TYPES	MAINT OF TEMP SILT FENCE, TP C	WATEN OF TEMP STIT RENCE TO A	CONSTR & REM SEDIMENT BASIN, TP 1, STA NO- CSSTP-0006-00(327)	RW CK DM	CONSTR AND REM FAB CK DAM -TP C SLT FN	CONSTR AND REMOVE SELT CONTROL GATE, IP 5	CONSTR AND REMOVE SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 2	SILT	CONSTRUCTION EXIT	MIII OU	FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE TP 3	TRAFFIC CONTROL - CSSTP-0006-00(327)	MISC ITEMS CONC. BRIDGE OVER CSX RR FORCE ACCOUNT NO. RAILRDAD PROTECTIVE INSURANCE	DESCRIPTION	ITEMS FOR JOB 0006327_PHASE 1	
	301.000 400.000	48900.000	23.000 26.000	430.000	8000	600.000	1000.000	2420.000	12460.000	29075.000	95529.000	1,000	12757.000	25514.000	24.000	4. 000	9.000	50.000	7.000	12 000	4.000	1500.000	6379.000	12757 000	0(327) 4.00	6379.000	2400.000	3 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	7.000	12.000	9.000	628.000	31.000	1.00	45258.000 1.000	QUANTITY		
	915.56 467.49 457.66	10.02	1727.33 989.71	33.55	20.46	134.16	7.99	27.L4	57.00	53.32	14.88	\$7\$0000 00	3.05	1.55	614.37	50.1/	490.21	107.42	198.34	100.70	761.66	1.01	0.82	149.27	3201.79	2.91	3.1. 11.	302.00	517.30	738.59	951.10	161.70	71159.68	100000.00	100.00 80711.63	PRICE		
	140248.55 183065.22	489992.67	39728.68	14430.75	75721.44	80498.92	7991.42	5777.83	710341.61	1550379.02	1422174.61	575000000	39011.42	39573.23	14744.98	1280.71	4411.97	5371.17	1388.41	2220.80	3046.67	1521.21	5294.38	6313 18	12807.17	18623.36	7473.84	22082 11	3621.11	8863.09	8559.92	102770 67	~ • •	≍	4525800.00 80711.63	AMOUNT		

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 24

00049 517-1000 0051 517-1000 0051 517-1000 0051 517-1000 0051 517-1000 0051 517-1000 0051 550-1140 0

571.05 0.835 0

3311414 101714 1101

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 25

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST:

Bypass_Phase 1 (CES).txt

TP 3, 2 IN SYS,4-WAY,FIBERGLASS

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 26

> Date 2/22/2011 "0006327" P.I. Number **Barrow** County Project Number CSSTP-0006-00(327) (Phase 1) Special Provision, Section 109-Measurement and Payment FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% MAX) ENTER FPL DIESEL 2.877 ENTER FPL UNLEADED 2.716 ENTER FPM DIESEL ENTER FPM UNLEADED 6.473 6.111 http://www.dot.ga.gov/doingbusiness/Materials/Pages/asphaltcementindex.aspx **INCREASE ADJUSTMENT INCREASE ADJUSTMENT** 125.00% 125.00% GALLONS UNLEADED DIESEL GALLONS **ROADWAY ITEMS** QUANTITY **REMARKS FACTOR** DIESEL FACTOR UNLEADED Excavations paid as specified by Sections 205 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 Excavations paid as specified by Sections 206 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 GAB paid as specified by the ton under 95529.000 0.29 27703.41 0.24 22926.96 Section 310 (TON) Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the 2.90 0.71 ton under Sections 400 (TON) Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the 2.90 164108.10 ton under Sections 402 (TON) 56589.000 0.71 40178.19 PCC Pavement paid as specified by the square yard under Section 430 (SY) 0.25 0.20 **BRIDGE ITEMS** Quantity **Unit Price** QF/1000 Diesel Factor Gallons Diesel Gallons Unleaded REMARKS Factor Bridge Excavation (CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 211 Class __Concrete (CY) Section 500 720.00 262,5120 8.00 2100.10 1.50 393.77 CLASS A Class __Concrete (CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Class __Concrete (CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Superstru Con Class_(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Superstru Con Class__(CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Superstru Con Class__(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Concrete Handrall (LF) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Concrete Barrier (LF) Section 8.00 1.50 500 Page 1 of 4

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 27

BRIDGE ITEMS	Quantity	Unit Price	QF/1000	Diesel Factor	Gallons Diesel	Unleaded Fector	Gallons Unleaded	REMARKS
Stru Steel Plan Quantity (LB) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
Stru Steel <u>Plan Quantity</u> (LB) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams(LF)								
Section 507 PSC Beams(LF)				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams(LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		
OSCION OUT			10.7	0.00		1.50		
Stru Reinf <u>Plan Quantity</u> (LB) Section 511				8.00		1.50		
Stru Reinf <u>Plan Quantity(LB)</u> Section 511				8.00		1.50		
Bar Reinf Steel (LB) Section 511	92610.00	0.60	55.5680	8.00	444.53	1.50	83.35	Culverts
Pilinginch (LF) Section			The same					
520 Pilinginch (LF) Section				8.00		1.50		
520 Pilinginch (LF) Section				8.00		1,50		
520 Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pliinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Drilled Calsson, (LF) Section 524	- 1.44			8.00		1,50		
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1.50		
Drilled Caisson (LF) Section 524			1.13	8.00		1.50		
					-			-
Pile Encasement(LF) Section 547				8.00		1,50		
Pile Encasement(LF) Section 547				8.00		1.50		.V.Castr
	SUM QF	DIESEL=	1943	6.13	SUM	OF UNLEA	DED=	63582.27
	DIESEL PR	ICE AD IIIe	TMENTYE			\$6424	36 00	
			JSTMENT(21		\$643,0 \$198,0		

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

	LE TO CONTRACTS/PROJEC	CTS CONTAINING THE	FACK COAT 1 E 413 SPECIFICATION FOR BITUMINOUS TAC	SECTION 413.5.01 ADJU	ISTMENTS
ENTER APL	604	ENTER APM	1134		
	125.00%		INCR	EASE ADJUSTM	ENT
.N. TYPE 3-1000 PG 58-22	TACK (GALLONS) 2420	1	CK (TONS) 0.3941		REMARKS
	PRICE ADJUSTME			\$6,286.38	
	http://www.dot.ga.gov/d	doingbusiness/Mat	terials/Pages/aspha	Itcementindex aspx	
	125.00%		INCREASE	ADJUSTMENT	
J.N. / Spec Number	125.00% MIX TYPE	нма	INCREASE JMF AC%	ADJUSTMENT AC	REMARKS
J.N. / Spec Number 402-3121		HMA 29075			REMARKS
	MIX TYPE		JMF AC%	AC	REMARKS
402-3121	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP	29075	JMF AC% 5.00	AC 1453.75	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00 752.70	REMARKS
402-3130	MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	29075 12460	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 1453.75 623.00	REMARKS

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 29

APPLICABLE		BITUMII		Surface Trea	atment	
		http://ww	w.dot.ga.goy/doingbusiness/N		sphaltcem	entindex aspx
	ENTER AP		7	ENTER APN	e	1
	N	ISSING A	PL OR APM	MISSI	NG API	OR APM
			Emulsion Only			le for Asphalt Cement Only
i.N.	TYPE	ASPHAL1	EMULSION (GALLONS)	L.I.N.	TYPE	TACK (GALLONS)
TM	T=			TN	AT =	
EMARKS:				REMARKS	:	
		MONTHLY	PRICE ADJUSTMENT(\$)		MIS	SING APL OR APM
	FUEL PRI	CE ADJUS	ADJUSTMEN [MENT (ENGLISH 125% MA		<u>RY</u>	
	DIESEL P	RICE ADJU	STMENT(\$)			\$643,036.99
	UNLEADE	D PRICE A	DJUSTMENT(\$)			<u>\$198,592.85</u>
	ASPHALT MAX)	CEMENT	PRICE ADJUSTMENT (BITUN	NINOUS TACK C	OAT 125	% \$6,286,38
	400 / 402	ASPHALT (EMENT PRICE ADJUSTMEN	NT 125% MAX		\$1,711,251,36
			PRICE ADJUSTMENT FOR B	ITUMINOUS TAC	SK	MISSING APL OR APM
REMARKS:						
		ΤΟΤΔΙ	ADJUSTMENTS		\$2.55	59,167.58

DWM 10/08

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 30

Preliminary Right of Way Cost Estimate

Data Cartanhar 27 2010										
Date: September 23, 2010 Project: CSSTP-0006-00 (327)	-							P.I. Number: 001	K322	
Existing/Required R/W: Varie		24						No. Parcels	38	
Project Termini: SR 211 to M			Road					1404 B MI CEIS	30	
Project Description: West Win										
Pee Simple:										
Agricultural										
	539,24	43 sf	x	\$	0.50 /sf=	\$	269,621			
Residential										
	204,74	44 sf	ж	\$	1.50 /sf=	\$	307.116			
Industrial					4					
	951,01	10 sf	x	. \$	2.00 /sf=	\$	1,902,020			
Commercial										
	135,10	03 st	×	\$	3.00 /sf-	2	405,310			
		-					5	2,884,067		
Permanent / Temporary Cons	rnetion	Easeme	enr:							
Agricultural	2000		7 11	5	0.25 /sf-	2	67,405			
Residential	269,62	21 31	X	2	U.23 /SI	,	67,403			
Restoential	102,37	72 -6	x	S	0.75 /sf=	\$	76,779			
Industrial	102,3	12 31	X	3	U./3 /SI -	3	70,779			
ilidu3titai	475,50	30 20	x	3	1.00 /s[=	S	475,505			
Commercial	473,30	22 21	^	,	1.00 /31		473,303			
Commercia	67 59	52 sf	x	\$	1.50 /sf=	2	101,327			
TOTAL	07,5.	J & J1			1.00 /25		\$	721,017		
Improvements:										
5 Residential					-	S	600,000			
0 Commerciai						\$	0			
TOTAL							\$	600,000		
Reiocation:										
5 Residential						\$	250,000			
0 Commercial					-	\$	0			
TOTAL							S	250,000		
Damages:										
Proximity -		Parcels				S	0			
Consequential -		Parcels				2	0			
Cost to Cure -	2	Parcels				2	125,000	100.000		
TOTAL							2	125,000		
SUB-TOTAL									S	4,580,084
			Net Co	ıst			\$	4,580,084		
			Schedi	uling	Contingency	55		2,519,046		
			Adm/C	Court	Cost	60		4,259,478		
							\$	11,358,608		

Total Cost

Prepared By

11,360,000

Howard P. Copeland

R/W Administrator

Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.

Note: Accuracy of estimate is the sole responsibility of the Preparer.

Note: The Market Appreciation (40%) is not included in this Preliminary Cost Estimate.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 31

TOTAL COST SUMMARY - PHASE 2

Subtotal Construction Cost: \$8,091,351.91

Engineering and Inspection @ 5%:

\$404,567.60

Fuel Adjustment:

\$493,836.15

Liquid AC Adjustment:

\$999,339.77

Total Construction Cost:

\$9,989,095.43

Right of Way:

\$6,180,000.00

Environmental Mitigation:

\$149,900.00

Utility Total:

\$1,714,671.00

PHASE 2 GRAND TOTAL COST

\$18,033,666.43

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 32

Environmental Mitigation Summary - Phase 2

Descripion	Credits	Cost per Credit	Total
Ephemeral 4	0.24	\$7,500	\$1,800.00
Ephemeral 8	0.24	\$7,500	\$1,800.00
Stream 5	0	\$70	\$0.00
Stream 6	650	\$70	\$45,500.00
Stream 7	782.4	\$70	\$54,768.00
Stream 7a	657.6	\$70	\$46,032.00
		Phase 2 Total	\$149,900.00

(See Jurisdictional Water Maps 1 & 2)

	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	LINE	JOB NI
	1159-1000 1159-1000 1159-0230 1159-0	ITEM	UMBER : 0006.
		ALT	327_PHASE
	#44455666644466644466666666666666666666	STENU	2 3YPASS_PH
Page L	TRAFFIC CONTROL - CSSTP-0006-00(327) FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE TP 3 FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE TO ONTO CONSTR AND REMOVE SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 3 FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE TRAP FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE OUT OFFICE A FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE OUT OFFICE A FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE OUT OFFICE OU	ITEMS FOR JOB 0006327_PHASE 2	JOB ESTIMATE REPORT JOB NUMBER : 0006327_PHASE 2 SPEC YEAR: 01 DESCRIPTION: MEST WINDER BYPASS_PHASE 2
	1.000 435.000 6.000 6.000 36.000 9916.000 14958.000 14958.000 14958.000 2479.000 2479.000 2479.000 2479.000 36.000 36.000 9916.000 9916.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.22.000 1498.20.000 1498.20.000 1498.20.000 1498.20.000 1498.20.000 1498.20.000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000 1499.0000	QUANTITY	
	100000 71159.68 21259.68 11269.50 11269.50 11269.50 11269.50 1209.61 1	PRICE	
	100000.00 7317.069 7317.069 7317.069 7317.069 7317.069 73255.44 73255.44 73255.44 73255.44 73255.44 73255.44 73255.44 73255.47 7326.97	AMOUNT	

DATE : 05/02/2011

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 34

0050 5557-1241 0051 5557-1241 0052 5557-1241 0053 5557-1241 0054 5557-1241 0055 5557-1241 0056 5557-1241 0057 5557-1241 0057 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0058 5557-1241 0059 5557-1241

 $\overline{6}$

STM DR PIPE 18" H 1-10
STM DR PIPE 24" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 30" H 20-25
STM DR PIPE 30" H 20-25
STM DR PIPE 30" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 42" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 44" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 44" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 18" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 44" H 10-15
STM DR PIPE 18" H 10-15
STM DR

\$220.000
\$150.000
\$150.000
\$150.000
\$255.000
\$255.000
\$255.000
\$255.000
\$255.000
\$255.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000
\$256.000

44474.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.44.46
5334.46.53
53477.41
53477.52
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.63
53477.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 35

0113 668-5000
0114 682-6233
0114 682-6233
0115 700-6910
0117 700-7010
0117 700-7010
0119 700-8100
0120 710-9000
0121 715-2100
0122 935-1512
0123 935-1512
0124 935-3103
0125 935-6561
0126 938-8500
0129 938-8500
0129 938-8500
0129 938-8500
0129 938-8500
0129 938-8500

CECEEEL SYSETE TO LEEEL

PERMANENT GRASSING
PERMANENT GRASSING
AGRICULTURAL LIME
LIQUID LIME
FERTILIZER MIXED GRADE
FERTILIZER NITROGEN CONTENT
PERM SOIL REINFORCING MAT
BITUM TRTD ROVING, SLOPES
EROSION CONTROL MATS, SLOPES
OUT PLAT FAR OPT CBL, DROP, SM, 12 FBR
FIBER OPTIC CLOSURE, UNDRGRD, 24 FBR
FIBER OPTIC SLOSURE, UNDRGRD, 24 FBR
FIBER OPTIC SLOSURE, UNDRGRD, 24 FBR
FIBER OPTIC SPLICE, FUSION
EXT TRNSCVR, DRP&RPT, 1300MM, (SIGNAL JOBS)
PROGRAMMING MONITOR, TYPE A
TRAINING

3466 000 300 2,000 300 000 300

nder Bypass_Phase 2 (CES).txt ",B/Y,TPPB

1758 - 22 1758 - 22 5.52 49.50 49.50 475.97 5475.97

15275 49 1374 45 1374 45 1909 00 9009 00 9009 00 1626 13 1339 98 1339 98 1339 18 1339 18 1339 18 1341 36 1441 89 2997 40 2971 40 3177 84 3177 84

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 36

Date 2/22/2011 P.I. Number "0006327" **Barrow** County Project Number CSSTP-0006-00(327) (Phase 2) Special Provision, Section 109-Measurement and Payment **FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% MAX)** ENTER FPL DIESEL **ENTER FPL UNLEADED** 2.877 2.716 ENTER FPM DIESEL 6.473 ENTER FPM UNLEADED 6.111 http://www.dot.ga.gov/doingbusiness/Materials/Pages/asphaltcementindex.aspx **INCREASE ADJUSTMENT INCREASE ADJUSTMENT** 125.00% 125.00% GALLONS UNLEADED DIESEL **GALLONS ROADWAY ITEMS** QUANTITY REMARKS UNLEADED **FACTOR** DIESEL FACTOR Excavations paid as specified by Sections 205 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 Excavations paid as specified by Sections 206 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 GAB paid as specified by the ton under 55580.000 16118.20 0.24 Section 310 (TON) 0.29 13339,20 Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the 2.90 0.71 ton under Sections 400 (TON) Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the ton under Sections 402 (TON) 32926,000 2.90 95485.40 23377.46 0.71 PCC Pavement paid as specified by the square yard under Section 430 (8Y) 0.25 0.20 **BRIDGE ITEMS** Quantity **Unit Price** QF/1000 Dieset Factor Gallons Dieset Gallons Unleads REMARKS **Factor** Bridge Excavation (CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 211 Class __Concrete (CY) 2100.10 1.50 Section 500 720.00 364.60 262.5120 8.00 393.77 CLASS A Class __Concrete (CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Class __Concrete (CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Superstru Con Class_(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Superstru Con Class_(CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Superstru Con Class_(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Concrete Handrail (LF) 8.00 Section 500 1.50 Concrete Barrier (LF) Section 8.00 1.50 500 Page 1 of 4

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 37

BRIDGE ITEMS	Quantity	Unit Price	QF/1000	Diesel Factor	Gallona Diesel	Unleaded Factor	Gallonz Unleaded	REMARKS
Stru Steel <u>Plan Quantity</u> (LB) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
Stru Steel <u>Plan Quantity</u> (LB) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams(LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		7.0
PSC Beams(LF) Section 507	. ,			8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams(LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		
Stru Reinf <u>Plan Quantity(LB)</u> Section 511				8.00		1.50		
Stru Reinf <u>Plan Quantity(LB)</u> Section 511				8.00		1.50		
Bar Reinf Steel (LB) Section 511	92610.00	0.60	55.5660	8.00	444.53	1.50	83.35	Culverts
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		- Company of the Comp
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Orilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524			3.3	8.00		4.50		
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1.50		
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1.50		
Pile Encasement(LF)			7 = -17			(V		
Section 547 Pite Encasement,(LF) Section 547				8.00		1.50	, ,	
r.	SUM OF	NEEF!	4444		Alte			
L	SUM QF	NESEL#	1747	48.22	SUM	QF UNLEA	DED4	37193.78
	DIESEL PR						665.11	
UR	ILEADED F	RICE ADJU	JSTMENT(3)		\$116,	171.04	

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 38

APPLICAE	LE TO CONTRACTS/PROJE ASPHALT	CTS CONTAINING THE PRICE ADJUSTMENT F			USTMENTS
ENTER APL	504	ENTER APM	1134		
	125.00%		INCR	EASE ADJUSTM	ENT
. TYPE -1000 PG 58-22	TACK (GALLONS)		K (TONS) 6.0475		REMARKS
		TMT = 6	.0475		
	PRICE ADJUSTME	INT(\$)		\$3,657.53	
	http://www.dot.ga.gov/	ENTER APM	erials/Pages/aspha	Itcementindex aspx	
				Itcementindex aspx ADJUSTMENT	
N. / Spec Number	http://www.dot.ga.gov/			<u> </u>	REMARKS
N. / Spec Number 402-3121	http://www.dot.ga.gov/	doingbusiness/Mate	INCREASE	ADJUSTMENT	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121	http://www.dot.ga.gov/ 126.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP	doingbusiness/Mate	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.0	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC%	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC% 5.00 5.00	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS
402-3121 402-3130	125.00% MIX TYPE 25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	HMA 16917 7250	JMF AC%	AC 845.85 362.50	REMARKS

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 39

			HALT CEMENT PR US TACK COAT(S			
APPLICABLE	E TO CONTRA	CTS CONTAINING		5.01 ADJUSTMENTS OAT	ASPHALT P	PRICE ADJUSTMENT FOR BITUMINOUS TA
		http://www.d	ot.ga.gov/doingbusiness/N	faterials/Pages/a	sphaltceme	entindex aspx
	ENTER API			ENTER API		
	M	ISSING APL	OR APM	MISSI	NG APL	OR APM
Use th	is side fo	r Asphalt Er	nulsion Only	Uge	this sid	e for Asphalt Cement Only
I.N.	TYPE		MULSION (GALLONS)	L.I.N.	TYPE	TACK (GALLONS)
TM	T=			TA	IT =	
REMARKS:				REMARKS		
		MONTHI V PRI	CE ADJUSTMENT(\$)		MIC	SING APL OR APM
			OE ABBOOTMENT(0)		11113	SING AFL OR AFIN
	FUEL PRI	CE ADJUSTMI	ADJUSTMEN		<u>RY</u>	
	DIESEL P	RICE ADJUST	MENT(\$)			<u>\$377,665.11</u>
	UNLEADE	D PRICE ADJ	JSTMENT(\$)			\$116.171.04
	ASPHALT MAX)	CEMENT PRIC	CE ADJUSTMENT (BITUN	NOUS TACK C	OAT 1259	\$3,667.53
	400 / 402 /	ASPHALT CEN	IENT PRICE ADJUSTMEN	NT 125% MAX		\$99 5,682.24
		CEMENT PRIC face Treatmen	CE ADJUSTMENT FOR B t 125% MAX)	ITUMINOUS TAC	:K	MISSING APL OR APM
REMARKS:		****				
	To tall the last	TOTAL A	DJUSTMENTS	NEED MENSOR	04.40	3,175.92

DWM 10/08

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 40

Preliminary Right of Way Cost Estimate

Project: CSSTP-0006-00 (327 Existing/Required R/V: Vari									1. Number: 0006327	
Project Termini: Matthews So		10 5	D 316					ı	o. Parcels 40	
Project Description: West Wit										
Fee Simple:										
Agricultural		sf	ALT.		0.00 1.0	200				
Residential	0	SI	x	2	0.50 /sf=	\$	0			
residential	1,069,775		x	s	1.00 /sf=	2	1.069,775			
industrial	1,009,773	31	A	*	1.00 /51		1,009,773			
Internation	0	sf	x		2.00 /sf=	2	0			
Commercial					2.00 7.00					
	32,725	sf	x	S	3.00 /sf=	2	98,175			
								2	1,167,949	
ermanent Construction Ease	ment:									
Agricultural										
	0	sf	x	\$	0.25 /sf=	\$	0			
Residential										
	534,887	sf	x	\$	0.50 /sf-	\$	267,444			
Industrial										
	0	sf	X	5	1.00 /sf=	\$	0			
Commercial										
	16,362	sf	x	2	1.50 /sf=	\$	24,544			
TOTAL								2	291,987	
mprovements: 5 Residential					-	\$	600,000			
i Commercial					S A	,	50,000			
TOTAL.					-	,		s	650,000	
IOIAL								,	020,000	
telocation:										
5 Residential					-	\$	250,000			
I Commercial						s	25,000			
TOTAL						1		2	275,000	
amages:										
Proximity -	1 Pa	rcels				\$	80,000			
Consequential -	1 Pa	rcels				5	25,000			
Cost to Cure -	0 Pau	rcels				\$	0			
TOTAL								s _	105,000	
Outp. mp.m										
SUB-TOTAL									S	2,489,93
			Net Co	st			- 14 1	s	2,489,936	
					Contingency	55%		Š	1.369.465	
			Adm/C			60%		S	2,315,641	
				15	The state of the s	10 1 7 10		2	6,175,042	

Total Cost

Prepared By

Emory D. Dixon III, CG# 2403 Moreland Altobelii Associates, Inc.

6,180,000

R/W Administrator

Note: Accuracy of estimate is the sole responsibility of the Preparer.

Note: The Market Appreciation (40%) is not included in this Preliminary Cost Estimate.

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 41

TOTAL COST SUMMARY - PHASE 3

Subtotal Construction Cost: \$12,983,368.40

Engineering and Inspection @ 5%: \$649,168.42

Fuel Adjustment: \$327,869.48

Liquid AC Adjustment: \$451,305.87

Total Construction Cost: \$14,411,712.17

Right of Way: \$14,820,000.00

Environmental Mitigation: \$14,700.00

Utility Total: \$2,939,436.00

PHASE 3 GRAND TOTAL COST \$32,185,848.17

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 42

Environmental Mitigation Summary - Phase 3

Descripion	Credits	Cost per Credit	Total
Ephemeral 1	0	\$7,500	\$0.00
Ephemeral 3	0	\$7,500	\$0.00
Stream 2	210	\$70	\$14,700.00
		Phase 3 Total	\$14,700.00

Grand Total \$488,930.00

(See Jurisdictional Water Map 1 of 2)

JOB NUMBER: 0006327_PHASE 3 SP DESCRIPTION: WEST WINDER BYPASS_PHASE 3	0006327_PHASE 3 WEST WINDER BYPASS_	SPEC YEAR: 01 SPEC YEAR: 01 ITEMS FOR JOB 0006327_PHASE S DESCRIPTION
71163-0300 71163-0300 71163-0300 71163-0300 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500 71163-0500		TRAFFIC CONTROL - CSSTP-0006-00(327) FIELD ENGINEERS OFFICE TP 3 FIEND ENGINEERS OFFICE TP 3 TEMPORARY GRASSING MULCH CONSTRUCTION EXIT CONSTR AND REMOVE SILT CONTROL GATE, TP CONSTR AND REMOVE TEMP PIPE SLOPE DRAIN CONSTRUCTOR CONSTR
	######################################	MAINT OF TEMP SILT FENCE, TP C MAINT OF CHECK DAMS - ALL TYPES MAINT OF CHECK DAMS - ALL TYPES MAINT OF SEDIMENT BARRIER - BALED STRAW MAINT OF SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 1 MAINT OF SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 2 MAINT OF SILT CONTROL GATE, TP 3
0024 165-0105 0025 167-1500 0026 167-1500 0027 171-0010 0028 171-0030		MAINT OF INLET SEDIMENT TRAP WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND SAMPLING WATER QUALITY INSPECTIONS TEMPOKARY SILT FENCE, TYPE C TEMPOKARY SILT FENCE, TYPE C
	なるるとは	GRADING COMPLETE - CSSTP-0006-00(327) GR AGGR BASE CRS, INCL MATL GR AGGR BS CRS 12IN INCL MATL ARBH COMF 17 & MA DEW GD THAT
	2 222	
	اد ۱۶۶ ۱۶۶ کا ۱۶۶	BITUM TACK COAT PLN PC CONC PWNT/CL1C/ 12" TK MILL ASPH CONC PWNT/ 1/2" DEP REINF CONC APPROACH SLAB ASPH CONC CURB - CSSTP-0006-00/327)
	Ϋ́	PLAIN CONC DITCH PAVING, 4 IN Page 1

West Winder Bypass_Phase 3 (CES).txt

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 44

0045 441-0301
0046 441-0301
0047 441-0301
0049 456-2015
0052 550-1241
0053 550-1241
0054 550-1241
0055 550-1241
0056 550-1241
0057 550-1241
0057 550-1241
0057 550-1241
0058 550-4224
0067 550-4224
0067 550-4224
0067 550-4224
0068 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224
0069 550-4224

CONC SPILLMAY, TP 1

CONC CURB & GITTER/ 8",30" TP7

CONC CURB & GITTER/ 8",30" TP7

PART REF FAB. STRIPS, TP2,18 THCH WIDTH

JEDON
STM OR PIPE 18", H 10-15

STM OR PIPE 24", H 10-15

STM OR PIPE 36", H 10-15

STM STM STM OR PIPE 36", H 10-15

STM STM OR PIPE 36", H 10-15

STM STM STM STM OR PIPE 36", H 10-15

STM STM OR PIPE 36", H

1777 33 98.517.77 33 98.517.77 33 98.517.77 33 98.517.77 33 98.517.77 34 99.517.77 35 99.517.77

148743 - 58 14874 0109 653-1501
0109 653-1501
0109 653-1502
0110 653-1704
0111 653-1804
0111 653-1804
0112 653-1804
0113 653-1804
0114 653-1003
0115 653-1003
0115 653-1003
0116 657-1085
0117 657-1085
0118 668-2000
0127 668-2000
0123 700-7010
0124 700-7010
0125 700-7010
0126 700-8010
0127 700-8010
0127 700-8010
0128 711-2100
0129 715-2103
0131 935-8061
0133 935-8061
0133 935-8061
0134 935-8061
0135 938-1200
0136 938-1200
0137 938-8061

HERMO SOLID TRAF ST 5 IN, WHI
HERM SOLID TRAF STRIPE, 24", WH
HERM SOLID TRAF STRIPE, 8", WH
HERM SOLID TRAF STRIPE, 8", WH
HERM SOLID TRAF STRIPE, 8", WH
ALSED PYMT MARKERS TP 1
AISED PYMT MARKERS TP 1
AISED PYMT MARKERS TP 1
AISED PYMT MARKERS TP 3
WAT ARROW, PREFORM PLASTIC W/RAISE RE
RF PL SD PYMT MKG, 8", B/W, TPPB
RETTILIZER MIXED GRADE
RETTILIZER MIXED GRADE
RETTILIZER NITROGEN CONTENT
REN OPTIC CLOSURE, JUNGRGRD, 24 FBR
TIBER OPTIC CLOSURE, JUNGRGRD, 24 FBR
TIBER OPTIC CLOSURE, JUNGRGRD, 24 FBR
TIBER OPTIC SPLICE, PUSION
STING, RANGETTOR TYPE A
POCEPAMATINE MONITOR TYPE A

Bypass_Phase 3 (CES).txt

27652 000 277652 000 277652 000 14211.000 14211.000 14211.000 14211.000 200.000 200.000 3329.000 3329.000 3319.000

173. 67 6839 154. 75 6839 16. 36 6839 16. 36 6839 17. 36 762. 22 1472. 24 11472. 24 11472. 26 1472. 27 1472. 27 1472. 28 1472. 29 1529. 13 1529. 13 1529. 14 1529. 14 1529. 15 152

Page

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 46

> Date 2/22/2011

"0006327" County P.I. Number Barrow Project Number CSSTP-0006-00(327) (Phase 3) Special Provision, Section 109-Measurement and Payment **FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% MAX)** ENTER FPL DIESEL 2.877 **ENTER FPL UNLEADED** 2.716 ENTER FPM DIESEL ENTER FPM UNLEADED 6.473 6.111 http://www.dot.ga.gov/doingbusiness/Materials/Pages/asphaltcementindex.aspx **INCREASE ADJUSTMENT INCREASE ADJUSTMENT** 125.00% 125.00% DIESEL GALLONS UNLEADED GALLONS **ROADWAY ITEMS** QUANTITY **REMARKS FACTOR** DIESEL FACTOR UNLEADED Excavations paid as specified by Sections 205 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 Excavations paid as specified by Sections 206 (CUBIC YARD) 0.29 0.15 GAB paid as specified by the ton under Section 310 (TON) 58580.000 0.29 16988.20 0.24 14059,20 Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the ton under Sections 400 (TON) 750.000 2.90 2175.00 0.71 532.50 Hot Mix Asphalt paid as specified by the 40962.50 ton under Sections 402 (TON) 14125.000 2.90 0.71 10028.75 PCC Pavement paid as specified by the 35689.000 0.25 8922.25 0.20 7137.80 square yard under Section 430 (SY) Unleaded **BRIDGE ITEMS** Quantity **Unit Price** QF/1000 Diesel Fector **Gallons Diesel** Gallons Unleaded REMARKS Bridge Excavation (CY) Section 211 8.00 1.50 Class __Concrete (CY) 8.00 1.50 20.00 58.34 10.94 CLASS A Section 500 364.60 7.2920 Class __Concrete (CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Class __Concrete (CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Superstru Con Class_(CY) Section 500 8.00 1.50 Superstru Con Class_(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Superstru Con Class_(CY) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Concrete Handrail (LF) 8.00 1.50 Section 500 Concrete Barrier (LF) Section

8.00

Page 1 of 4

1.50

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 47

BRIDGE ITEMS	Quantity	Unit Price	QF/1000	Diesel Factor	Gallona Diesel	Unleaded Factor	Gations Unleaded	REMARKS
Stru Steel <u>Plan Quantity</u> (LB) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
Stru Steel <u>Plan Quantity</u> (L8) Section 501				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams (LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams (LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		
PSC Beams(LF) Section 507				8.00		1.50		
Stru Reinf Plan Quantity(LB)						0		
Section 511 Stru Reinf Plan Quantity(LB)				8.00		1.50		
Section 511				8.00		1.50		-
Bar Reinf Steel (LB) Section								
511				8.00		1.50	-	Culverts
Pilinginch (LF) Section				9.00		4.50		
520 Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Piling_inch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Pilinginch (LF) Section 520				8.00		1.50		
Sulfrad Onlines - d. C. I								····
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1,50		
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1.50		
Drilled Caisson, (LF) Section 524				8.00		1.50		
Pile Encasement(LF) Section 547				8.00		1.50		
Pile Encasement(LF) Section 547				8.00		1,50		
	SUM QF	DIESEL=	6910	6.29	SUM	QF UNLEA	DED=	31769.19
		RICE ADJUS					641.60	
UN	LEADED F	RICE ADJU	o i men i (*/		\$99,2	27.88	

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 48

APPLICA	BLE TO CONTRACTS/PROJE	TUMINOL CTS CONTAINE	MENT PRICE A JS TACK COAT NO THE 413 SPECIFICATION MENT FOR BITUMINOUS TA	125% MAX) N. SECTION 413.5.01 ADJ	USTMENTS
ENTER APL	504	ENTER	APM 1134		
	125.00%		INC	REASE ADJUSTM	ENT
I.N. TYPE 13-1000 PG 58-22	TACK (GALLONS) 572		TACK (TONS) 2.4568		REMARKS
		TMT =	2.4568		
	PRICE ADJUSTME	NT(\$)		\$1,485.8	7
ENTER APL	http://www.dot.ga.gov/s	enter /	s/Materials/Pages/aspl	haltcementindex aspx	
0	MIX TYPE	HMA	JMF AC%	AC	REMARKS
IN Conce Number			1 JIIII 196-70	AC	
402-3121 402-3130	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	6872 2945	2 5.00	343.60	
402-3121	25 mm SP	6872	5.00 5.00		10000000
402-3130	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP	6872 2945	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP	6872 2945 3558	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5 5.00 8 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 8.5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 6.5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50 37.50	
402-3121 402-3130 402-3190 400-3624	25 mm SP 12.5 mm SP 19 mm SP 12.5 mm PEM	6872 2945 3558 750	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	343.60 147.25 177.90 37.50	

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 49

	http://www.dot.ga.gov/doingbusiness/h	Materials/Pages/asphaltcer	nentindex.aspx
	ENTER APL	ENTER APM	
	MISSING APL OR APM	MISSING API	OR APM
Use th	nis side for Asphalt Emulsion Only	Use this si	de for Asphalt Cement Only
I.N.	TYPE ASPHALT EMULSION (GALLONS)	L.I.N. TYPE	TACK (GALLONS)
TN	AT =	TMT =	
REMARKS:	:	REMARKS:	į.
	MONTHLY PRICE ADJUSTMENT(\$)	MIS	SSING APL OR APM
			SSING APL OR APM
**********		NT SUMMARY	SSING APL OR APM
	ADJUSTME	NT SUMMARY	\$228,641.60
	ADJUSTME! FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% MA	NT SUMMARY	
	ADJUSTMEI FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% M/ DIESEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT(\$)	NT SUMMARY	\$228,641.60 \$99.227.88
	ADJUSTMEI FUEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT (ENGLISH 125% M/ DIESEL PRICE ADJUSTMENT(\$) UNLEADED PRICE ADJUSTMENT(\$) ASPHALT CEMENT PRICE ADJUSTMENT (BITUI	NT SUMMARY W) MINOUS TACK COAT 126	\$228,841.60 \$99.227.88

DVM 10/01

\$779,175.36

TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS

P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow Page 50

Preliminary Right of Way Cost Estimate

Project: CSSTP-0006-00 (327) Existing/Required R/W: Varie Project Termini: SR 316 Inter Project Description: West Win	s / Varies change at			Road				P.I. Number: 000 No. Parcels	10	
	ист Бура		unac 111							
Fee Simple: Agricultural										
Agricultural	0	sf	X	2	1 00 /sf =	S	0			
Residential	The same	**			1,00731	31				
CONTROL CASE DISCOUNT OF	747,115	sf	×	\$	1.50 /sf=	\$	1.120,672			
Industrial										
	809,767	sf	×	8	2.00 /sf =	S	1,619,533			
Commercial										
	492,760	sf	x	\$	3.00 /sf=	5	1,478,279			
							\$	4,218,485		
ermanent / Temporary Const	raction E	asem	ent:							
Agricultural										
	0	sf	×	. S	0.50 /sf -	2	0			
Residential										
	373,557	sf	×	\$	0.75 /sf=	\$	280,168			
Industrial										
	404,883	sf	x	\$	1.00 /sf =	S	404,883			
Commercial										
TOTAL.	246,380	sf	X	- 5	1.50 /sf=	2	369,428	1.054.479		
TOTAL MARKET CONTRACTOR								1,034,479		
mprovements:										
1 Residential						2	150,000			
0 Commercial					to .	\$	0			
TOTAL							\$	150,000		
delocation:										
0 Residential						5	50,000			
0 Commercial					m	\$	0			
TOTAL							\$	50,000		
amages:										
Proximity -		rceis				3	0			
Consequential - Cost to Cure -	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	reels				\$	500,000			
TOTAL.	U Pa	reels				2	0	400.000		
TOTAL.							2	500,000		
SUB-TOTAL									S	5,972,9
			Net Co	ed			Sun Hos	5,972,964		
					Contingency	55%		3,285,130		
			Adm/C			60%		5,554,856		
				Just		007	3			

Total Cost

14,820,000


Prepared By:

Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc.


R/W Administrator

Note: Accuracy of estimate is the sole responsibility of the Preparer.

Note: The Market Appreciation (40%) is not included in this Preliminary Cost Estimate.







P.I. No. 0006327 County: Barrow

Page 53

UTILITY COST ESTIMATE WINDER BY-PASS BARROW COUNTY February 25, 2011

CSX railroad New Highway Bridge	\$151,000.00
Colonial Pipeline	\$2,000,000.00
Georgia Power Transmission (six structures)	\$1,200,000.00
Georgia Power Distribution (Est. 3.5 mi of poles)	\$1,484,000.00
Jackson EMC (Unknown amount of facilities)	\$150,000.00
Comcast CATV (They have no prior rights)	\$0
Telephone facilities (we have never paid a phone company to relocate)	\$0
City of Winder Gas (Unknown Quantity - Est. 2 mi 4inch STL @60/ft)	\$633,600.00
Water Utility (3.5 mi of new const @ \$485,000/mi)	\$1,697,500.00
Sewer	\$1,000,000.00
Total	\$8,316,100.00

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE:

CSSTP-0006-00(327) Barrow

OFFICE: Engineering Services

P.I. No.: 0006327

West Winder Bypass - 3 phases

July 16, 2010 DATE:

FROM:

Ronald E. Wishon, State Project Review Engineer (4)

TO:

Bobby K. Hilliard, PE, State Program Delivery Engineer

Attn.: Douglas Fadool

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY ALTERNATIVES

The VE Study for the above project was held April 19-22, 2010. Responses were received on July 15, 2010. Recommendations for implementation of Value Engineering Study Alternatives are indicated in the table below. The Project Manager shall incorporate the VE alternatives recommended for implementation to the extent reasonable in the design of the project.

ALT#	Description	Potential Savings/LCC	Implement	Comments
1	Modify realignment north of Fred Kilcrease Road to line up with a slight realignment of Bill Rutledge Road to the north	\$298,000	No	The originally proposed design includes the desirable angle of intersection (90°). By changing the intersection to 70° as recommended by the VE Team, individual stream impacts will increase.
2	Realign the bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX RR and SR 8 closer to a 90° skew	\$438,000	Yes	This will be done.
6	Remove the cul-de-sac on Patrick Mill Road and create a right-in right-out intersection with the West Winder Bypass	Design Suggestion	No	This recommendation would add an undesirable point of access to the West Winder Bypass and increase the construction costs.
7	Move the cul-de-sac on Patrick Mill Road 500 ft south to provide access to the historic property	Design Suggestion	No	The cost savings of eliminating the demolition of 500 ft of pavement is negligible. If left undisturbed, this section of pavement would serve no practical use and would be an unnecessary maintenance issue. The historic property already has access to the retained portion of the pavement.

9	Modify the realignment of Tom Miller Road and Fair Long Way	\$646,000	No	This recommendation reduces the distance between signalized intersections from 1,150 feet to 800 feet. Acquiring the access rights from the ramps to the new median opening for Tom Miller Road/Fair Long Way as proposed by the VE Team would reduce the potential VE savings.
12	Reduce the length of the ramps to and from SR 316 and the West Winder Bypass	\$2,188,000	Yes	This will be done.
13	Use 11 ft wide inside lanes in lieu of 12 ft wide lanes	\$509,000	Yes	This will be done.
14	Move the Burson Maddox Road intersection 300 ft south of the original design intersection with West Winder Bypass	\$344,000	Yes	This will be done.
15	Revise the alignment of Matthews School Road to connect to SR 8 close to the existing intersection	\$14,000	Yes, partially	To avoid additional ROW and construction costs, the "right in" portion of the VE recommendation will be eliminated, and the right turn movements will utilize the newly proposed intersection.
16	Reduce the concrete paved shoulder widths on the ramps to and from SR 316 and the new West Winder bypass	\$310,000	Yes	This will be done.
17	Reduce the width of the median from 24 ft to 20 ft	\$935,000	Yes	This will be done. A width of 24 ft will be provided at intersections to offset left turn lanes.
18	Use 4 ft wide outside paved shoulders in lieu of 6.5 ft wide outside paved shoulders	\$418,000	Yes	This will be done.

19	Use vertical bridge abutments in lieu of slope paving	\$1,219,000	No	The Office of Bridge Design indicates there would be a cost increase with the implementation of this alternative. There are also increased maintenance costs with MSE walls, and future expansion is limited. Due to the sequence of construction and coordination with subcontractors and equipment, bridge and wall costs are higher than the general bridge and wall costs for separate structures.
24	Use a partial cloverleaf interchange for the West Winder Bypass and SR 316 connection in lieu of a diamond interchange	\$6,180,000	No	The proposed partial cloverleaf would have weaving operational issues between the two loop ramps.
25	Remove the middle pier on the bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX RR and SR 8	\$160,000	No	There is not sufficient information to determine which option is most efficient. Once the survey has been completed and the structure type and length are determined, the most economical span arrangements will be developed.
26	Use 10 ft wide shoulders on bridges in lieu of 12 ft wide shoulders	\$323,000	Yes	This will be done.
28	Reduce the length of the bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX RR and SR 8	\$241,000	No	Recommendation No. 2 provides greater cost savings.
33	Use 4:1 slopes where 6:1 slopes are being used to save ROW acquisition	\$1,718,000	Yes	This will be done.
34	Eliminate the traffic signal at the intersection of Bill Rutledge Road and Fred Kilcrease Road	\$127,000	No	A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed and it shows the need for a signal based upon the build year traffic volumes.
35	Reduce turn lane storage lengths at the intersection of Carl Bethlehem Road and the West Winder Bypass	Proposed = \$171,000 Actual = \$130,000	Yes, partially	The reductions will apply to six of the eight storage bays. Based on analysis of traffic counts, all but the NB left turn lane on West Winder Bypass and the EB right turn lane on Carl Bethlehem Road will be shortened. This will result in a revised savings of \$130,000.

CSSTP-0006-00(327) Barrow Implementation of Value Engineering Study Alternatives

The Office of Engineering Services concurs with the Project Manager's responses.

Approved:

Gerald M. Ross, PE, Chief Engineer

Date:

7/16/10

REW/LLM

Attachments

c:

Ben Buchan

Bobby Hilliard/Michael Haithcock/Douglas Fadool

Paul Liles/Bill Duvall/Bill Ingalsbe

Larry Bowman

Randall Davis

Ken Werho

Lisa Myers

Matt Sanders

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **STATE OF GEORGIA**

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE:

CSSTP-0006-00(327) Barrow County

OFFICE: Program Delivery

P.I. No.: 0006327

West Winder Bypass

DATE: July 15, 2010

FROM:

Bobby K. Hilliard, PE, State Program Delivery Engineer B.

TO:

Ronald E. Wishon, State Project Review Engineer

Attn.: Lisa Myers

RESPONSE TO VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY ALTERNATIVES SUBJECT:

Attached are the responses for the referenced Value Engineering Study. This office concurs with the responses.

If you have any questions, please contact Douglas Fadool, AVS, Project Manager at 404-308-1353.

BKH:MAH:DF:sha c: Ben Buchan



2211 Beaver Ruin Road, Suite 190 · Norcross, Georgia 30071 · 770/263-5945 · Fax: 770/263-0166 · ma@maai.net

Thomas D. Moreland, PE President

Buddy Gratton, PE Executive Vice President

George M. Byrd, PE Senior Vice President

Vickie E. Moreland Senior Vice President J. Holly Moreland Vice President

Henry E. Collins, Jr. Richard C. Boullain, PE Vice President

Vice President

Bradley M. Hale, PE Vice President

Abeit J. Joyner Jr. Vice President

Value Engineering Responses

CSSTP-0006-00(327) PI No. 0006327 **Barrow County**

1) Alt. No. 1: Modify realignment of Fred Kilcrease Road to line up with a slight realignment of Bill Rutledge Road to the North.

VE Team Savings = \$298,000

No, will not implement. The proposed design includes the desirable angle for intersections, which is 90 degrees. By changing the intersection angle to 70 degrees, individual stream impacts will likely be greater and the skew is not desirable. The proposed truck percentage on the West Winder Bypass is 22%. The proposed VE savings will likely be reduced.

2) Alt. No. 2: Realign West Winder Bypass bridge over Bankhead Highway, the CSX Railroad and SR 8 closer to a 90 degree skew.

VE Team Savings = \$438,000

Yes, will implement.

3) Alt. No. 6: Remove cul-de-sac on exiting Patrick Mill Road and create a rightin/right-out connection to the West Winder Bypass.

VE Team Savings = N/A

No, will not implement. This recommendation will slightly add to the overall construction cost and will add an undesirable point of access to the West Winder Bypass.

4) Alt. No. 7: Move cul-de-sac on Patrick Mill Road South to provide access to the historic property.

VE Team Savings = N/A

No, will not implement. The cost savings of preventing the demolition of 500 feet of pavement is negligible and could be offset in the event that the contractor would be able





to use this space as a paved staging area. The historic property already has access to the retained portion of the pavement. If left undisturbed, this section of pavement would serve no practical use and would simply be an additional unnecessary maintenance issue.

5) Alt. No. 9 Modify the alignment of Tom Miller Road and Fair Long Way. VE Team Savings = \$646,000

No, will not implement. The proposed VE recommendation reduces the distance between signalized intersections from 1,150 feet to 800 feet. As stated in the VE report, "it is recommended that limit of access rights be acquired from the ramps to the new median opening for Tom Miller Road/Fair Long Way because the desirable distance for the first median opening from the ramps is 1,000 feet". Reducing the intersection distance to less than 1000 feet between signalized intersections is highly undesirable and the recommendation to buy the limited access right for corner properties next to SR 316 will be costly and potentially litigious. The proposed VE savings will likely be reduced after taking into account the purchase of access rights.

6) Alt. No. 12: Shorten the ramps to and from SR 316 to the new West Winder Bypass. VE Team Savings = \$2,188,000

Yes, will implement.

7) Alt. No. 13: Use an 11-ft. wide inside lane in lieu of a 12-ft.-wide lane. VE Team Savings = \$509,000

Yes, will implement.

8) Alt. No. 14: Move Burson Maddox Road 300 ft. South of as-designed intersection with the Bypass.

VE Team Savings = \$344,000

Yes, will implement.

9) Alt. No. 15: Connect Mathews School Road directly to SR 8 close to the existing connection to SR 8.

VE Team Savings = \$14,000





Yes, partial implement. To avoid additional right of way and construction cost, the design team proposes to eliminate the "right in" portion of the recommendation and bring the right turn movement to the new proposed intersection resulting in a more conventional, less costly design.

10) Alt. No. 16: Narrow the paved concrete shoulders on the ramps to and from SR 316 and the new West Winder Bypass.

VE Team Savings = \$310,000

Yes, will implement.

11) Alt. No. 17: Narrow the median from 24-ft.-wide to 20-ft.-wide.

VE Team Savings = \$935,000

Yes, will implement. Will provide 24 feet at intersections to offset left turns.

12) Alt. No. 18: Use 4-ft.-wide paved outside shoulders in lieu of 6.5-ft.-wide paved outside shoulders.

VE Team Savings = \$418,000

Yes, will implement.

13) Alt. No. 19: Use vertical bridge abutments in lieu of ends with sloped paving. VE Team Savings = \$1,219,000

No, will not implement. See attached memo dated 7-12-10 from Mr. Paul V. Liles.

14) Alt. No. 24: Use a partial cloverleaf in lieu of a diamond interchange. VE Team Savings= \$ 6,180,000

No, Will not implement. See Alt. No. 12. In addition, the design team prefers to maintain the diamond interchange since other nearby grade separations along SR 316 that under design are being proposed as diamond interchanges. The proposed partial cloverleaf would have weaving operational issues between the two loop ramps over the bridge in the am and pm peak hours, at a minimum. Also, there are ROW savings that



can be realized by moving the diamond ramps in tighter while maintaining the proposed signal spacing.

15) Alt. No. 25: Delete the center pier for the West Winder Bypass bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX Railroad and SR 8.

VE Team Savings = \$160,000

No, will not implement. See attached memo dated 7-12-10 from Mr. Paul V. Liles.

16) Alt. No. 26: Use 10-ft.-wide shoulders on the bridge to match the roadway shoulders in lieu of 12-ft.-wide shoulders.

VE Team Savings = \$ 322,000

Yes, will implement the 10 ft. shoulder, truck percentage is 22%...

17) Alt. No. 28: Shorten the West Winder Bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX Railroad and SR 8 by 22 ft. 6 in.

VE Team Savings = \$ 241,000

N/A, will not implement. See Alt. No. 2. The implementation of Alt. No. 2 produces a greater cost savings.

18) Alt. No. 33: Use 4:1 slopes in lieu of 6:1 slopes at the end of the shoulders and reduce the width of the right-of-way.

VE Team Savings = \$ 1,718,000

Yes, will implement.

19) Alt. No. 34: Eliminate the traffic signal at the intersection of Bill Rutledge Road and Fred Kilcrease Road and the West Winder Bypass.

VE Team Savings = \$127,000



No, will not implement. A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed (see attached) that shows the need for a signal based upon the "build year" traffic volumes. The analysis includes 100% volume threshold and right turn reduction procedures (NCHRP 457).

20) Alt. No. 35: Reduce turn lane storage lengths at the intersection of Carl Bethlehem Road and the West Winder Bypass.

VE Team Savings = \$171,000

Yes, will partially implement this proposal. The reductions will apply to six of the eight turn lane storage bays. The reductions are based on analysis of the traffic counts and apply to all but the northbound left turn lane on West Winder Bypass and the eastbound right turn lane on Carl Bethlehem Road. As a result of partial implementation, the realized VE Team Savings would be approximately \$130,000.

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis West Winder Bypass at Bill Rutledge Rd and Fred Kilcrease Rd


Signal Warrants - Summary

Major Street Approaches	Minor Street Approaches	
Northbound: West Winder Bypass Number of Lanes: 2 Approach Speed: 45	Eastbound: Fred Kilcrease Rd Number of Lanes: 2	
Total Approach Volume: 10,254	Total Approach Volume: 2,184	
Southbound: West Winder Bypass Number of Lanes: 2 Approach Speed: 45	Westbound: Bill Rutledge Rd Number of Lanes: 2	
Total Approach Volume: 9,723	Total Approach Volume: 1,953	
Warrant Summary (Urban values apply.)		
Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volumes		Satisfied
Warrant 1A - Minimum Vehicular Volume Required volumes reached for 2 hours, 8 are needed	Not Satisfied	
Warrant 1B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic	Satisfied	
Warrant 1 A&B - Combination of Warrants Required volumes reached for 3 hours, 8 are needed	Not Satisfied	
Warrant 2 - Four Hour Volumes		Satisfied
Warrant 3 - Peak Hour		Not Evaluated
Warrant 3A - Peak Hour Delay	Not Evaluated	
Warrant 3B - Peak Hour Volumes	Not Evaluated	
Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volumes		Not Evaluated
Warrant 5 - School Crossing		Not Evaluated
Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System		Not Evaluated
Warrant 7 - Crash Experience		Not Evaluated
Warrant 8 - Roadway Network		Not Evaluated

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

West Winder Bypass at Bill Rutledge Rd and Fred Kilcrease Rd

Signal Warrants - Summary



Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants:

Analysis of 8-Hour Volume Warrants:												
Hour	Major	Higher	Minor,		War-1A		War-1B			War-1A&B		
Begin	Total	Vol	Dir	Major Crit	Minor Crit	Meets?	Major Crit	Minor Crit	Meets?	Major Crit	Minor Crit	Meets?
00:00	58	6	EB	600-No	200-No	***	900-No	100-No	***	720-No	160-No	-
01:00	30	3	EB	600-No	200-No		900-No	100-No	•••	720-No	160-No.	
02:00	30	3	EB	600-No	200-No	900	900-No	100-No		720-No	160-No	9.00
03:00	30	3	EB	600-No	200-No		900-No	100-No		720-No	160-No	
04:00	79	9	EB	600-No	200-No		900-No	100-No	***	720-No	160-No	
05:00	297	33	EB	600-No	200-No	***	900-No	100-No		720-No	160-No	
06:00	1,049	117	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
07:00	1,620	215	WB	600-Yes	200-Yes	Both	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-Yes	Both
08:00	1,440	148	WB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
09:00	1,263	120	E8	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
10:00	1,139	115	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
11:00	1,010	112	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
12:00	1,267	141	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
13:00	1,267	141	EB	800-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
14:00	1,148	128	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
15:00	1,128	125	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
16:00	1,406	156	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-No	Major
17:00	1,895	255	EB	600-Yes	200-Yes	Both	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-Yes	Both
18:00	1,366	178	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-Yes	100-Yes	Both	720-Yes	160-Yes	Both
19:00	831	114	WB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-No	100-Yes	Minor	720-Yes	160-No	Major
20:00	753	84	EB	600-Yes	200-No	Major	900-No	100-No	***	720-Yes	160-No	Major
21:00	554	62	EB	600-No	200-No	,,,,,	900-No	100-No		720-No	160-No	
22:00	218	24	EB	600-No	200-No	***	900-No	100-No		720-No	160-No	***
23:00	99	11	EB	600-No	200-No		900-No	100-No	***	720-No	160-No	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE OF GEORGIA

INTERDEPARTMENT CORRESPONDENCE

FILE

CSSTP-0006-00(327) BARROW COUNTY

DATE July 12, 2010

P.I No. 0006327

FROM

Paul V. Liles, Jr., P.E., State Bridge Engineer

TO

Bobby Hilliard, P.E., State Program Delivery Engineer

Attn: Douglas Fadool

SUBJECT BRIDGE DESIGN VALUE ENGINEERING RESPONSE

The Value Engineering Study for the above referenced project dated April 29, 2010 contained five VE Alternatives requiring responses from the Bridge Office, VE Alternatives 2, 19, 25, 26 and 28. Below are our recommendations for these alternatives.

<u>VE Alternative 2</u> - "Realign West Winder Bypass bridge over Bankhead Highway, the CSX Railroad and SR 8 closer to a 90 degree skew."

Recommendation: Possible Implementation. Structurally it is more efficient to construct the proposed bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX Transportation and SR 8 with minimal skew. However, at this site other factors must be considered by the engineer of record to determine the most economical solution including alignment, profile, horizontal clearances, vertical clearances, ROW, drainage, etc.

VE Alternative 19 - "Use vertical bridge abutments in lieu of ends with sloped paving."

Recommendation: Do Not Implement. Based on our estimate there would be a cost increase to implement this alternative. In addition to cost, long term there are more maintenance issues with MSE walls and the approach roadway than there are with typical spill through abutments. MSE wall abutments limit the possibility of future expansion for both the road being carried as well as the facility beneath the structure. Due to sequence of construction, coordination with subcontractors and equipment, bridge costs and wall costs are higher than the general bridge and wall costs for separate structures.



<u>VE Alternative 25</u> - "Delete the center pier for the West Winder Bypass bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX Railroad and SR 8."

Recommendation: Do Not Implement. The project is currently in the concept phase; this alternative should have been a Design Suggestion. There is not sufficient information at this point in the design to determine which option is most efficient. Once the survey is completed and the structure type and length are determined then economical span arrangements will be developed.

VE Alternative 26 - "Use 10-ft.-wide shoulders on the bridge to match the roadway shoulders in lieu of 12-ft.-wide shoulders."

Recommendation: Implement with Modifications. In accordance with Policy 4265-9 (Geometric Design Guide for Bridges on Local Roads and Streets, Not Having State Route Numbers) the outside bridge shoulder width should be a minimum of 8 feet. The designer needs to determine if a wider shoulder is warranted for this project based on volume of truck traffic.

<u>VE Alternative 28</u> - "Shorten the West Winder Bridge over Bankhead Highway, CSX Railroad and SR 8 by 22ft. 6 in."

Recommendation: Do Not Implement. The project is currently in the concept phase; this alternative should have been a Design Suggestion. Constructing an obstruction within the clear-zone is not desirable. There is not sufficient information at this point in the design to determine which option is most efficient. Once the survey is completed and the structure type and length are determined then economical span arrangements will be developed.

If you have any questions and/or comments, please contact Bill DuVall of the Bridge Design Office at (404) 631-1883 or at email address bduvall@dot.ga.gov.

PVL/WMD

cc: Ron Wishon, Engineering Services

Bill DuVall, Bridge Office

DEC 14 2007



PRINTECT FILE

BUDDY GRATTON, P.E.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

(404) 656-5212

GENA L. ABRAHAM COMMISSIONER (404) 656-5206

GERALD M. ROSS, P.E. CHIEF ENGINEER (404) 656-5277

Department of Transportation

State of Georgia #2 Capitol Square, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1002

EARL L. MAHFUZ TREASURER (404) 656-5224

December 11, 2007

The Honorable Doug Garrison Commission Chairman 233 East Broad Street Winder, Georgia 30680

Dear Chairman Garrison:

I am returning for your files an executed agreement between the Georgia Department of Transportation and the Barrow County for the following project:

PROJECT#: CSSTP-0006-00(327) Barrow County, P.I. #0006327

We look forward to working with you on the successful completion of the joint project. Should you have any questions, please contact the Project Manager Eugene Hopkins at (404)656-5449.

Sincerely,

James T. Simpson,

Financial Management Administrator

JTS:rm

Enclosure

c: Bob Rogers

Russell McMurray - District 1

Jeff Baker - Utilities

Project Number: CSSTP-0006-00(327) - Barrow County ATTACHMENT "A"

			,	 			·
Utilities	Relocation Costs by	100% GDOT					.,
	Letting by	gdor		·			-
Construction	Funding	80% Federal 20% State L.240			(3)		
/ay	Acquisition & Administrative Cost by	Barrow County	-	•	,	,	
Right of Way	Funding of Real Property	80% Federal 20% State L240					
eering	Design	Barrow County					
Preliminary Engineering	Funding	100% by Locals					
Work	Type Type Roadway Project				7	l (
Project	(PI#, Project #Description) 0006327 CSSTP-0006-00(327) West Winder Bypass from Tom Miller Road to SR 316 including SR 316 Interchange					35	

1. Maximum allowable GDOT reimbursible amount may be shown above in lieu of percentages when applicable. Local Government will only be reimbursed the percentage of the accured invoiced amounts up to but not to exceed the maximum amount indicated.

2. Cash participation limits may be shown above in lieu of percentages when applicable. Note:

3. Barrow County will be responsible for Engineering, Environmental Permitting, Right of Way Acquisition except Real Estate costs, which will be reimbursed on a quartly basis, and Wetland and Stream Mitigation costs, up to and not to exceed \$6,800,000.